Circadian activity rhythm in demented and non-demented nursing-home residents measured by telemetric actigraphy
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SUMMARY
There is a need to develop unobtrusive methods for long-term monitoring of sleep/wake and circadian activity patterns in the elderly both in nursing homes and at home settings as sleep is important for health and well-being. The IST Vivago® WristCare is an active social alarm system, which provides continuous telemetric monitoring of the user’s activity. We examined how the activity signal measured by IST Vivago differed between demented and non-demented subjects living in a nursing home, and how it correlated with the subjective assessment of sleep quality and daytime alertness. The activity signal data together with subjective assessments of sleep quality and daytime vigilance were collected from 42 volunteers (aged 56–97 years; 23 demented and 19 non-demented) for at least 10 days. The demented subjects had lower daytime activity and higher nocturnal activity than the non-demented subjects. Correlations between the activity parameters and self-assessments were weak but statistically significant. We also found correlation between functional ability and diurnal activity. The results are in line with previous studies with demented and non-demented elderly subjects and suggest that the IST Vivago system provides a valid instrument for unobtrusive continuous long-term monitoring of the circadian rhythm and sleep/wake patterns in the elderly.
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INTRODUCTION

Ageing, and also many age-related factors such as medical conditions, medications, psychological and environmental factors and life events have a great impact on sleep/wake patterns and the quality of sleep (Martin et al., 2000). The incidence of sleep disturbances increase with age (Bliwise, 1993; Martin et al., 2000) and sleep architecture becomes more fragmented (Ancoli-Israel et al., 1997a). Adversely, poor sleep has a strong effect on the health and general well-being of the elderly. Poor sleep correlates with health complaints and depression (Phillips and Ancoli-Israel, 2001) and an association between reported sleep problems and the incidence of falls has been found (Brassington et al., 2002). Sleep disturbances may be associated with declining cognitive functions and an early indicator of dementia (Foley et al., 2001). Sleep problems are also common factors leading to institutionalization (Chenier, 1997; Pollak and Perlick, 1991; Pollak et al., 1990) and sleeping disorders can be predictors of death (Pollak et al., 1990).

Sleep disturbances are highly common for demented patients (Lyketsos et al., 2002; Martin et al., 2000), especially for demented elderly people living in institutions (Ancoli-Israel et al., 1997a). Sleep in demented patients is often fragmented, sleep/wake rhythms are unstable, the frequency and duration of nocturnal awakenings are increased, and wandering and agitation at night are common symptoms for demented elderly people (Ancoli-Israel et al., 1997a; Martin et al., 2000). Demented people also sleep more during the daytime than do non-demented elderly people (Pat-Horenczyk et al., 1998). Sleep disorders also correlate with the severity of dementia (Ancoli-Israel et al., 1997a; Pat-Horenczyk et al., 1998).

Pat-Horenczyk et al. (1998) noticed the need to examine whether the daytime sleepiness and reduced daytime activity of...
demented subjects causes disturbed sleep at night, or whether fragmented sleep leads to the daytime sleepiness of demented subjects. Volicer et al. (2001) found that longitudinal studies of changes in circadian rhythm are required for achieving final clarifications of the relationships between changes in circadian rhythm and the progression of dementia.

Nevertheless, long-term studies of sleep/wake patterns and circadian rhythmicity of the elderly in institutions and at home for medical diagnostic and treatment purposes have been rare. This is largely because of the lack of appropriate methods. The golden standard for studying sleep has been polysomnography (PSG), which requires laboratory settings and is hence not suited for long-term or large spread use, or use with cognitively impaired subjects. Sleep diaries offer one possible method, but their usability and accuracy are limited, especially when studying cognitively impaired elderly patients. An alternative method suitable for long-term home monitoring is wrist actigraphy, which can be used both for research and diagnostic purposes. Ancoli-Israel et al. (2003) suggested that actigraphy provides information obtainable in no other way, especially in individuals who are less likely to tolerate PSG, such as the demented elderly. Information obtained by actigraphy is reliable for evaluating the sleep patterns and circadian rhythms and effects of treatments (Ancoli-Israel et al., 2003).

There is a need to develop methods for monitoring the circadian rhythm and sleep/wake patterns of the elderly both in clinical settings and at home because of the importance of sleep to health and well-being. This kind of monitoring would be useful for assessing the nature of sleep problems, for evaluating the need for treatment, and for assessing the efficacy of treatments. Monitoring should preferably be continuous and applicable in long-term, i.e. over months or even years to allow the detection of possible changes. Monitoring methods should be suitable for clinical purposes and easy to use for health care professionals.

In this study we used the IST Vivago system (Information Security Technology Oy, Helsinki, Finland; http://www.istsec.fi) to study sleep/wake patterns of the elderly. IST Vivago is an intelligent social alarm system with continuous telemonitoring of the user’s activity, which is available both for institutional and home use (Särelä et al., 2003). Its primary use is as a social alarm device with a panic button and automatic alarms or notifications for immobility, extended passivity, access control and device usage, but in addition it provides similar performance to standard actigraphy in activity monitoring (Löjtönen et al., 2003). Further, it takes a step forward by providing possibilities for continuous long-term (up to several years) routine monitoring in an unobtrusive way in the normal surroundings and living environments of its users (Särelä et al., 2003). The institutional system is a multi-user system allowing simultaneous monitoring of several users while the home system is for single users only.

The objectives of this study were (i) to determine how the activity signal measured by telemetric actigraphy (Vivago) differs between demented and non-demented subjects living in a nursing home and how it correlates with the subjective assessment of sleep quality and daytime alertness, and (ii) to develop methods for analysing long-term circadian rhythm and sleep/wake patterns.

METHODS

Subjects and protocol

We conducted the study in two private nursing homes (later institution I and institution II) located in southern Finland. The nursing homes were chosen on the basis of earlier usage of the IST Vivago. The device has been the primary nurse call and alarming system in both nursing homes for some years, and the staff and the residents were experienced in using the device. Participants or their relatives (in the case of severe dementia of the resident) were asked to give their written consent to the study. The exclusion criteria were refusal to use the device continuously 24 h per day throughout the study and chronic conditions seriously affecting wrist movements (e.g. Parkinson’s disease and unrehabilitated hemiplegia). The Ethics Committee for Studies in Healthy Subjects and Primary Care of the Helsinki and Uusimaa Hospital District approved the study.

The total number of the residents in institution I was 40. Twenty-eight of them lived in their own flats and 12 in the dementia ward in their own rooms; 27 subjects volunteered to the study but one of them was excluded because of Parkinson’s disease. The final study sample consisted of 26 subjects (two men, 24 women). In institution II all 16 residents (15 women, one man) volunteered for the study.

At the baseline, the subjects were screened for dementia with the Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR) (Hughes et al., 1982) and the Folstein Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) (Folstein et al., 1975). On the basis of CDR and MMSE scores the subjects were classified as non-demented (MMSE ≥20 and CDR ≤0.5) or demented (Table 1). As depression is one important factor disrupting sleep/wake patterns in old age (Bliwise, 1993), the subjects were examined for depressiveness by using a five-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-5) (Hoyl et al., 1999). The Barthel Index (BI) (Mahoney and Barthe[...](s) was used for screening the functional ability of the subjects.

Table 1 Distributions of background variables in study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-demented I</th>
<th>Demented I</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(n = 19)</td>
<td>(n = 23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>81.5 ± 9.0</td>
<td>84.3 ± 9.5</td>
<td>0.362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE scores</td>
<td>26.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td>11.6 ± 5.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR scores</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDS-5 scores</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barthel Index (scores)</td>
<td>82.1 ± 24.1</td>
<td>65.0 ± 19.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are given as mean ± SD. Differences between groups were tested by Mann–Whitney U-test.

MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating Scale; GDS-5, Geriatric Depression Scale.

In institution I the data was collected for 10 days and in institution II for 113 days. In the case of missing data for any subject in institution I, data collection was continued until at least 9 days of complete data was acquired. Subjective assessments of sleep quality and alertness in the daytime and in the evenings were collected three times a day at regular times. Furthermore, bedtime, awakening time, number of nocturnal awakenings and time in bed were recorded. Non-demented subjects made self-assessments but a trained staff member made assessments for demented subjects because of their limited cognitive capacity.

Quality of sleep was assessed by using a five-item classification (very good to very bad). The total number of awakenings was classified as (i) no awakenings during the night, (ii) 1–3 awakenings, and (iii) more than three awakenings during the night. The Karolinska Sleepiness Scale (Gillberg et al., 1994) was used to assess alertness during daytime and in the evening.

Activity signal acquisition and processing

The IST Vivago system consists of three main components: a wrist unit, a base station, and alarm receiving and routing software. The wrist unit is a wrist-worn alarming device with a manual alarm button, and, in addition to this, it has built-in sensors for activity and usage monitoring. The activity signal, which is constructed from the measured force changes at the unit’s movement sensor, is continuously wirelessly transmitted on average once per minute, depending on user’s activity level. The activity data is stored by the system allowing online activity monitoring whenever the wrist unit is worn and the unit’s movement sensor, is continuously wirelessly transmitted which is constructed from the measured force changes at the wrist unit, a base station, and alarm receiving and routing software. The activity data was used to assess alertness during daytime and in the evening.

The activity signal was analysed in three periods: for a complete 24 h (from 21:00 to 21:00 hours), day (from 09:00 to 21:00 hours) and night (from 00:00 to 06:00 hours). These fixed periods were used for simplicity in all individuals despite possible differences in their sleep cycle. The night period was chosen so that the vast majority of the subjects in the institutions were expected to be in bed during this period. For these periods, mean, median and standard deviation (SD) of the activity signal were computed. Night and day (median) activity levels were also computed in normalized units by dividing them by 24-h median activity level. Median was used instead of mean because of non-Gaussian distribution of the activity data. In addition, to assess the amplitude of the circadian rhythm, ratios of night and day activity (both mean and median) were computed. Furthermore, a sinusoidal model of the circadian rhythm was fitted to the data, and sine amplitude and acrophase (time of the maximum activity according to the model) were computed (Martin et al., 2001).

The parameters mentioned above are based on linear system theory, and it is well known that biological systems exhibit nonlinearity. Hence, we applied Poincare (return) plot analysis for the activity data to allow for the analysis of different complex patterns in the data. A Poincare plot is constructed by plotting the activity sample \( A(t) \) as a function of the previous sample \( A(t-d) \), where \( d \) is the delay parameter (Fig. 1). This analysis method is commonly applied for beat-to-beat heart rate data for analysis of nonlinear dynamics (Tulppo et al., 1996). By choosing an appropriate delay different dynamics may be studied. Traditionally, \( d = 1 \) is probably most commonly applied, and in this case the parameter SD1 (see Fig. 1) describes mostly short-term variability of the data while parameter SD2 describes long-term variability.

In the case of circadian rhythm, delays of 12 and 24 h are of special interest (Fig. 2). The \( d = 24 \) h plots the current day as a function of the previous day, allowing us to analyse how activity patterns repeat themselves from day-to-day. In case of close resemblance of the days, the data points would appear close to the axis \( x = y \) leading to small SD1 and large SD2. The delay \( d = 12 \) h presents the data in the counter phase, and in a normal circadian rhythm the data should be grouped in three groups: close to the \( x \)- and \( y \)-axis (mapping of low night-time activity on high day-time activity and vice versa because of counter phase) and around the middle of the plot (mapping of morning to the evening and vice versa) (Fig. 2). Hence, by comparing especially the differences between \( d = 24 \) h and

RESULTS

Descriptive variables

Demographic and clinical variables of the subjects summarized in Tables 1 and 2 show the results of the subjective assessments. The non-demented subjects spent less time in bed than the demented subjects, but the self-rated quality of sleep, daytime alertness, and evening alertness were similar in both groups. Daytime alertness correlated positively with evening alertness ($r = 0.552$; $P < 0.001$). Correlations were also seen between time spent in bed and functional ability ($r = -0.677$; $P < 0.001$), time in bed and MMSE scores ($r = -0.464$; $P = 0.002$), and time in bed and CDR scores ($r = 0.703$; $P < 0.001$).

Activity parameters

Most activity parameters differed between the non-demented and demented subjects (Table 3). Daytime activity was higher and nocturnal activity was lower in the non-demented than in the demented subjects. For the 24-h period, the standard deviation for activity in the non-demented subjects was also higher. The normalized night and daytime activity as well as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality of sleep (scores)</th>
<th>Non-demented $I$ (n = 19)</th>
<th>Demented $I$ (n = 23)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alertness in the daytime (scores)</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>4.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alertness in the afternoon (scores)</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time in bed (h)</td>
<td>9.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>11.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are given as mean ± SD. Differences between groups were tested by Mann–Whitney $U$-test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poincare1 min</th>
<th>Non-demented $I$ (n = 19)</th>
<th>Demented $I$ (n = 23)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SD1</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD2</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.51</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD1/SD2</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poincare24 h–12 h</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 24 h</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.31</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.31</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD 24 h</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median 24 h</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.32</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.40</td>
<td>0.820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean night</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD night</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median night</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.42</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean day</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.52</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD day</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median day</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.57</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.46</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night (normalized)</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day (normalized)</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.49</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night/daymedian</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night/daymean</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sine amplitude</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.45</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sine acrophase</td>
<td>12:01 ± 1:41</td>
<td>11:30 ± 2:07</td>
<td>0.487</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are given as mean ± SD. Differences between groups were tested by Mann–Whitney $U$-test.

the night/day ratio also showed differences between the
groups. In the demented subjects day and night-time activity
levels were close to each other and within the 24-h periods
activity level was lower than in the non-demented group.
Examples of the 24-h activity of a non-demented and a
demented subject are given in Fig. 3.

Differences between the groups were also seen in sinusoidal
modelling of activity (Table 3). The amplitude of the activity
signal differed between groups, but the acrophase did not.

In the 1-min Poincare analysis both SD1 and SD2 were
larger in non-demented than in demented subjects. The
Poincare difference with delays of 24 h and 12 h was signifi-
cantly larger in the non-demented than in the demented
subjects, suggesting stronger circadian rhythm within the non-
demented group (Table 3).

Studying all the subjects together, the subjects having better
circadian rhythm tended to have better functional ability as
suggested by the correlation analysis between activity param-
eters and Barthel scores (partial correlation, controlled for
MMSE scores; n = 42). Significant (P < 0.01) partial correla-
tions were found between BI scores and night/day activity
ratios \( r = -0.55 \) (see Fig. 4); normalized day activity
\( r = 0.53 \); normalized night activity \( r = -0.52 \); sino am-
plitude \( r = 0.46 \); Poincare differences between delays of 24 h
and 12 h \( r = 0.42 \); Poincare 1-min SD1 \( r = 0.39 \); and 24-h
activity SD \( r = 0.39 \).

The correlations between daily self-assessments and activity
parameters computed for subjects in institution II were low
but statistically significant (Table 4). Correlations were
found, for example, between the night/day activity ratio
and the quality of sleep. The higher the night/day activity
ratio was (indicating less difference between night and day
activity levels), the poorer was the quality of sleep. The night/
day activity ratio also correlated with daytime and evening
alertness: the higher the ratio the poorer the alertness. The
Poincare difference between the delays of 24 h and 12 h
correlated with the quality of sleep and daytime as well as
evening alertness.

**DISCUSSION**

We examined how the activity signal differed between non-
demented and demented subjects. We found that non-demen-
ted subjects had higher daytime and lower nocturnal activity
than demented subjects. The variation in activity during the
24-h cycle was lower among the demented subjects. Subjective
assessments of sleep quality parameters did not differ between
the two groups. However, we evidenced low correlation
between the objective (activity signal) and subjective assess-
ments of sleep and alertness in the elderly. Activity data
correlated also with functional ability assessed by the BI.

Finally, we developed and tested new parameters to quantify
the activity signal in long-term recordings.

In the Volicer et al. (2001) study the subjects suffering from
Alzheimer’s disease had lower daytime activity; higher noctur-
nal activity, lower interdaily stability of activity and later activity
acrophase than healthy subjects. In the Harper et al. (2001)
study the diurnal activity of demented patients was significantly
lower and the nocturnal activity level higher than in controls,
and the mean activity according to sinusoidal model (mesor) of
the activity rhythm was lower in demented subjects. The findings
of the present study are consistent with these studies suggesting
the validity of the IST Vivago for the assessment of circadian
activity of elderly and demented subjects.
In this study the activity signal and the subjective assessments of sleep and alertness had a weak correlation. This analysis was carried out only for subjects in institution II and the data was not divided into demented and non-demented subgroups because of the small number of non-demented subjects. This may have influenced the weakness of the correlation, but there are also other possible contributing factors. The staff made the subjective assessments. The assessments were made once a shift giving only an overview of a shift, and so the accuracy of the assessments may not be as good as in some other studies where observations were recorded more continuously (e.g. in the study by Ancoli-Israel et al., 1997b observations were made every 30 min).

We found a significant correlation between functional capacity (the BI) and the activity data. The subjects with better functional capacity tended to have a clearer difference between day and night activity (as measured by parameters such as night/day ratio, normalized day and night activity, and difference in Poincare 12- and 24-h plots), suggesting a stronger circadian rhythm. This finding is logical, as sleep problems are associated with overall well-being and functioning (Phillips and Ancoli-Israel, 2001). However, in our data, functional ability was strongly associated with dementia, and though we aimed to control for the effect of dementia in our analysis, it remains possible that dementia status may have influenced this result. Obviously, more studies are needed to clear up this association.

One of the aims of this study was to develop parameters to quantify activity data and its circadian pattern. Hence, we applied both, traditional measures such as mean, median and standard deviations of day and night-time activity, and more advanced methods such as sinusoidal modelling and Poincare analysis. The results suggest that the traditional parameters and especially their normalized versions, such as night/day activity ratio, or day and night activity normalized to the 24-h activity level, very effectively describe circadian patterns and activity level. The night/day ratio particularly has the advantage of being easy to visually approximate directly from the 24-h data curve, enabling fast screening of persons having sleep problems. The results from sinusoidal fitting also evidenced the differences between the groups. The advantage of sinusoidal modelling is in its ability to provide data related to the phase of circadian rhythm.

We also introduced a novel method for activity data analysis, Poincare analysis, which has earlier been applied in other areas such as the analysis of heart rate variability (Tulppo et al., 1996). This analysis allowed us to study whether complex patterns in the data are deterministic or random. The differences found between the demented and non-demented groups were consistent with the other parameters and expectations, i.e. evidencing poorer circadian rhythm and greater variability from day-to-day in demented subjects. The results suggest that using delay parameters of long duration (12 or 24 h) this analysis may produce interesting and relevant information about the circadian pattern and especially the consistency of the activity patterns between successive days.

The methods applied in our study have some specific advantages. First, the duration of this study was 10 days, which is longer than in many studies concerning sleep and activity of demented patients (Ancoli-Israel et al., 1997a,b; Harper et al., 2001; Pat-Horenczyk et al., 1998; Volicer et al., 2001) although there are also longer follow-up times, e.g. in the Van Someren (1997) study (9 days), in the Fontana Gasio et al. (2003) study (9 weeks), and in the Werth et al. (2002) study, which was a case study monitoring a demented subject for 18 months. Secondly, data were collected in the normal
surroundings of the subjects, and thirdly, the subjects were familiar with using the device so that the device did not disrupt the sleep and normal functioning of these elderly subjects like some strange devices used only for study purposes.

We combined data from two institutions but we believe this does not introduce a significant confounding factor. In fact, we compared demographical, clinical and activity data from the subjects and found little differences between the institutions (data not shown). Both institutions were quite similar and the time of data collection was approximately the same. Hence, we ended up in lumping these data together.

The statistical power of this study remains limited because of the relatively small number of subjects \( (n = 42) \). The type of dementia may be a potential confounding factor in our study, because the subjects were not evaluated for their type of dementia. Harper et al. (2001), for instance, found different circadian rhythms between subjects suffering from Alzheimer’s disease and other dementia diseases (in that study the classification of dementia was based on postmortem pathology). However, as discussed above, the results are consistent with previous studies, and hence suggest the validity of our study and the applied methods.

Consistent with previous studies, the results showed clear differences between the circadian rhythm of demented and non-demented subjects. The study also suggests potential correlation between functional ability and activity data. Results support the use of the telemetric actigraphy in the long-term screening and follow-up of elderly subjects for sleep and circadian rhythm-related problems associated with dementia and changes in functional capacity.
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